

Transcript of Allowable Ex Parte Briefing

12/11/2019

Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Incorporated; Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC ND-2019-35-G

COPY

Southern Reporting, Inc. Phone: 803.749.8100

Fax: 803.749.9991

Email: Depos@southernreporting.net

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 December 16 4:57 PM - SCPSC - ND-2019-35-G - Page 2 of 68

Before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina Columbia, South Carolina

December 11, 2019

10:01 a.m.

Allowable Ex Parte Briefing ND-2019-35-G
Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Incorporated; Duke
Energy Progress, LLC and Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
- Request for Allowable Ex Parte Communication
Briefing for an Update on Atlantic Coast Pipeline

TRANSCRIPT OF ALLOWABLE PROCEEDINGS

EX PARTE BRIEFING

HEARING BEFORE: Commissioner Florence P. Belser; Commissioner Thomas J. "Tom" Ervin; Commissioner Swain E. Whitfield; Commissioner G. O'Neal Hamilton

ADVISOR TO COMMISSION: Joseph M. Melchers, General Counsel

STAFF: William O. Richardson, Technical Advisory Staff; Douglas K. Pratt, Technical Advisory Staff; Melissa Purvis, Livestream Technician; Jackie Thomas, Information Technology Staff

APPEARANCES

Brian S. Heslin, Esq., Deputy General Counsel, representing and presenting for Duke Energy

Sasha Weintraub, Senior Vice President, representing and presenting for Piedmont Natural Gas

Joseph McCallister, Managing Director, representing and presenting for Duke Energy Progress

Jeffrey M. Nelson, Esq., representing the South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff

Heather Shirley Smith, Esq., representing Piedmont National Gas Company, Incorporated; Duke Energy Progress, LLC and Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

COURT REPORTER: Julie C. Taradash

Progress)

INDEX

Opening	Matters	3
OPCITIIN	MACCELD	

Presentation on Update on Atlantic Coast Pipeline
Brian S. Heslin, Esq.
(Deputy General Counsel, Duke Energy)

Question(s)/Comment by the Commissioners 20

Sasha Weintraub 28 (Senior Vice President, Natural Gas Business, Piedmont Natural Gas)

Joseph McCallister 34 (Managing Director, System Optimization Fuels and Systems Optimization Department, Duke Energy

Question(s)/Comment by the Commissioners 42

Closing Matters 67

Please note the following inclusions/attachments to the record:

PowerPoint Presentation Slides (PDF) re: "Presentation on Electric Transportation Trends and Opportunities."

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Please be seated. Good
3	morning and welcome to this morning's
4	allowable ex parte briefing. This briefing
5	has been requested by Duke Energy Carolinas,
6	LLC; Duke Energy Progress, LLC; and Piedmont
7	Natural Gas. Mr. Melchers, do you have
8	anything to add to the docket?
9	MR. MELCHERS: Not a thing. I'll read the
10	notice if you'd prefer.
11	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Okay. Thank you.
12	MR. MELCHERS: We are here pursuant to a
13	notice of request for allowable ex parte
14	communication briefing scheduled for today,
15	December 11th, here in the Commission's
16	hearing room, and the parties requesting the
17	briefing have already been identified.
18	The subject matter to be discussed at this
19	briefing is "Update on Atlantic Coast
20	Pipeline." Thank you.
21	COMMISSIONER BELSER: We'll now take
22	appearances from the parties.
23	MS. SMITH: Good morning, Commissioners.
24	Thank you. My name is Heather Shirley Smith.
25	I represent Duke Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy

1	Progress, and Piedmont Natural Gas for the
2	purposes of this allowable ex parte. And I
3	can introduce our speakers now, or I can come
4	back up in in a moment if you'd allow me?
5	COMMISSIONER BELSER: How about let's do that
6	in a moment?
7	MS. SMITH: All right.
8	COMMISSIONER BELSER: And our third party, our
9	neutral.
10	MR. NELSON: Thank you, Commissioner Belser.
11	Jeff Nelson. I'm here as the representative
12	of the Executive Director of ORS.
13	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Mr. Nelson, do you want
14	to go ahead and give some instructions?
15	MR. NELSON: Yes, ma'am.
16	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Thank you.
17	MR. NELSON: Thank you very much.
18	Good afternoon. I'm Jeff Nelson. I'm the
19	Chief Legal Officer for the Office of
20	Regulatory Staff, and I'm here today as the
21	designee for the Executive Director of ORS.
22	This allowable ex parte, as Mr. Melchers has
23	just stated, is to be presented by Piedmont
24	Natural Gas, Duke Energy Carolinas, and Duke
25	Energy Progress and conducted in accordance

1	with the provisions of Section 58-3-260(C).
2	As the ORS representative, it's my duty to
3	certify the record in this case of the
4	proceeding to the Chief Clerk of the Public
5	Service Commission, Ms. Boyd, within the next
6	72 hours and then verify that it has been
7	conducted in accordance with the statute.
8	The requirements of that statute, 58-3-
9	260(C), are in part that the allowable ex
10	parte be confined to the subject matter which
11	has been noticed. In this case, the
12	issue/noticed topic is "Update on the Atlantic
13	Coast Pipeline." I, therefore, ask the
14	presenters, Commissioners, and anybody else to
15	to please limit any discussion to just that
16	topic.
17	Under 58-3-260, participants,
18	Commissioners, and Commission staff are
19	prohibited from requesting or giving "any
20	commitment, predetermination, or prediction
21	regarding any action by any Commissioner as to
22	any ultimate or penultimate issue which either
23	is before or is likely to come before the
24	Commission." Presenters may not ask the
25	Commission for anything, in short, and the

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 December 16 4:57 PM - SCPSC - ND-2019-35-G - Page 7 of 68

1	Commissioners can't promise anything.
2	I'd also ask that the presenters,
3	Commissioners please try and refrain from
4	referencing any documents that are not
5	specifically included in the presentation
6	because, otherwise, we've got to track those
7	down within 72 hours.
8	Finally, when everybody came in today in
9	the in the audience, as well as the
10	presenters, you should have picked up a a
11	piece of paper and signed in on the back.
12	Please make sure that you sign and return that
13	document prior to your leaving today. If you
14	don't, we're going to have to track you down,
15	too.
16	I don't have anything further. Thank you
17	very much, Commissioner Belser.
18	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Thank you, Mr. Nelson.
19	Ms. Smith?
20	MS. SMITH: Good morning. First of all, the
21	companies would like to thank the Commission
22	for its time and allowing us to be here today.
23	We also appreciate the Commission allowing us
24	the use of a panel for for presentation
25	today.

1	I'd like to note and introduce our
2	speakers. Brian Heslin is here. He is Deputy
3	General Counsel at Duke, and he is an attorney
4	involved in these matters and will be
5	providing a legal update on the status of the
6	proceedings related to Atlantic Coast
7	Pipeline. We also have with us Sasha
8	Weintraub, who is Senior Vice President,
9	Natural Gas Business, for Piedmont Natural
10	Gas; as well as Joseph McCallister, who's our
11	Managing Director, System Optimization Fuels
12	and System Optimization Department, and he is
13	with Duke Energy Progress but, of course,
14	provides services broader than that.
15	Our presenters will tell you a little bit
16	more about their role in this project and what
17	their daily job entails. And with that, I'll
18	also note that our presenters have been
19	instructed of the challenges with having a
20	multi-person panel, and they have committed
21	that they will not talk over each other, no
22	matter how excited they get about the topic.
23	We understand the difficulty with the court
24	reporter and understand the importance of only
25	one voice being heard at one time.

1	9 8		

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 December 16 4:57 PM - SCPSC - ND-2019-35-G - Page 9 of 68

1	And so with that, I'll the panel
2	is ready to begin.
3	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Thank you, Ms. Smith.
4	Who's going first?
5	MR. HESLIN: That would be me. And and
6	thank you, Commissioners, for having us
7	MR. MELCHERS: Let's get his mic
8	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Turn your microphone on,
9	please. It's a little button at the base.
10	MR. HESLIN: I think I'm hot now.
11	COMMISSIONER BELSER: There you go.
12	MR. HESLIN: Once again, thank you for having
13	us today. As Ms. Smith indicated, my name is
14	Brian Heslin. I'm a Deputy General Counsel.
15	My primary duties are lead state regulatory
16	attorney for Piedmont Natural Gas in the
17	natural gas business unit. I also supervise
18	the FERC electric and natural gas teams for
19	the company. And also, I'm involved in
20	operational issues on the natural gas pipeline
21	side of things.
22	I'm going to provide a little
23	introduction and also provide the legal
24	update. And then I'm going to hand it off to
25	my colleagues here to discuss some of the

1	things involving the need of the pipeline and
2	other project-related information that they'll
3	provide to you.
4	(Slide 2)
5	But to start off with the introduction,
6	I'm sure folks are aware, but I just to
7	kind of set the table: The Atlantic Coast
8	Pipeline is a proposed approximately 600-mile
9	interstate pipeline project. It's designed to
10	bring critical natural gas infrastructure and
11	supply to the Southeast and the Mid-Atlantic
12	region. Historically, in this country,
13	natural gas supply flowed, at least on the
14	East Coast, from the Gulf up through the
15	north. And and and, you know, up to ten
16	years ago, domestic supply was dwindling until
17	the advent of hydrofracturing and horizontal
18	horizontal drilling technology and the
19	ability to leverage shale plays resulted in an
20	increase of domestic supply and production.
21	Because of that, natural gas natural gas
22	prices decreased significantly and have been
23	stable at a lower price. That has led to
24	regional supply options for for utilities
25	across the country. And in our region, in

1	particular, the ability to access the
2	production fields in the Marcellus and Utica
3	shale plays became an option.
4	The utilities have utilized existing
5	pipelines to bring that gas down from the
6	north. But the Atlantic Coast Pipeline is
7	specifically designed to leverage those supply
8	options and to transfer gas down from
9	Pennsylvania and West Virginia through
10	Virginia and into North Carolina, but also
11	essentially to serve the states in the eastern
12	parts of the states in the Mid-Atlantic
13	region.
14	The owners of Atlantic Coast Pipeline are
15	Dominion Energy, Duke Energy, and Southern.
16	Dominion is the majority owner and the
17	operator of the pipeline, so if you see
18	they're the they will be operating the
19	pipeline. They're also the proponents for the
20	FERC certificate applications and other
21	permitting permitting in that area. Duke
22	Energy owns a large proportion of the
23	pipeline, less than half. And then Southern
24	owns a piece as well.
25	The primary customers would be considered

1	the anchor shippers who have signed long-term
2	contracts capacity contracts on the
3	pipeline once it's built are Duke Energy,
4	Dominion, and Southern. And in particular and
5	who we represent today are the utilities and
6	the LDCs in the in the area where this
7	pipeline will potentially serve. So Duke
8	Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress have
9	signed precedent agreements and reserve a
10	significant amount of capacity, as has
11	Piedmont Natural Gas. All those utilities
12	have their separate needs for these services,
13	as will be discussed later. On the Dominion
14	side, Virginia Power and in Virginia,
15	obviously, and then Public Service of of
16	North Carolina in North Carolina. And then on
17	the Southern side, Virginia Natural Gas also
18	is a shipper on the proposed pipeline.
19	So that just kind of gives an idea of,
20	not only the primary customers that are
21	served, but the geographic layout of the
22	pipeline. And as you can see from the picture
23	there, the pipeline will run and serve the
24	eastern part of the state. Currently in the
25	Carolinas, the Transco pipeline is along

1	approximately along the 85 Interstate 85
2	corridor. So this pipeline, it will be east
3	of that interstate pipeline, and, as I said,
4	will provide high pressure and supply to the
5	eastern portions of the Mid-Atlantic states.
6	(Slide 4)
7	So the the legal update is to provide
8	an idea of the challenges that the pipeline
9	has faced. And for folks who are aware, there
10	has been significant delay in the construction
11	of the pipeline, and so we thought it would be
12	a worthwhile endeavor for us to lay out at
13	least a brief outline of the legal challenges
14	and then, at least from a judicial
15	perspective, what the timing may be to address
16	those challenges.
17	And and so I will provide this from
18	obviously, we're the customers and not the
19	pipeline. I won't be making any arguments
20	either way. Just laying out the status of the
21	various cases, and then I'll obviously I'm
22	open to questions at any time or at the end of
23	this.
24	But just to provide a little context
25	here: You know, the Federal Energy Regulatory

1	Commission, FERC, is the authorizing
2	certificating agency for interstate pipelines
3	in this country. And in October of 2017, the
4	Atlantic Coast Pipeline received its FERC
5	certificate. That certificate is premised or
6	contingent upon the pipeline receiving other
7	permits or rights-of-way or other requirements
8	needed before they can proceed with
9	construction. And so the next number of cases
10	that I'm going to talk about on these slides
11	are challenges to the agency actions or the
12	agency permits that were required required
13	by the Atlantic Coast Pipeline in order for
14	them to proceed with construction.
15	The primary cases that I'm going to
16	discuss are in the Fourth Circuit. The Fourth
17	Circuit of Appellate Court is a federal court.
18	It is it is seated primarily in Richmond,
19	and the Fourth Circuit comprises of North
20	Carolina, South Carolina, West Virginia,
21	Maryland, and and the DC area. But the DC
22	Circuit also serves the the DC in in
23	particular.
24	We've had one panel or ACP has had one
25	panel of three judges hear all these cases

1	just to keep consistency in the subject
2	matter. And so the case that I'm about to
3	discuss, I just wanted to give you an idea of
4	where those cases are being heard, and that
5	they're all being heard before one panel of
6	three appellate court judges.
7	The largest or the most significant
8	challenge to date for the ACP project was a
9	case that was rendered in December of last
10	year December 13th, 2018. The case was
11	called "Cowpasture." At least the just the
12	the let's say the short reference, and
13	the name of the preliminary party is
14	Cowpasture. But the real issue about this
15	case is the Appalachian Trail crossing.
16	In that case, the Fourth Circuit found
17	that the U.S. Forest Service, which had
18	provided a special-use permit for the pipeline
19	to cross the Appalachian Trail or cross
20	under the Appalachian Trail the the
21	crossing would actually be around 600 feet
22	below that trail that the special-use
23	permit provided by the U.S. Forest Service was
24	vacated by the Fourth Circuit. The reasoning
25	being, the Fourth Circuit found that the U.S.

1	Forest Service does not have the statutory
2	authority to grant a crossing under the
3	Appalachian Trail. The Fourth Circuit found,
4	in that case, that it's the National Park
5	Service, which administers the Appalachian
6	Trail, which is the proper agency to allow a
7	crossing under the Appalachian Trail.
8	The issue with that is that, under the
9	Mineral Mineral Leasing Act I don't want
10	to get into too much detail but, under the
11	Mineral Leasing Act, which provides for the
12	permitting of oil and gas pipelines, units or
13	lands within the National Park Service are
14	specifically excluded. So the result or
15	the the result that is being claimed by the
16	Atlantic Coast Pipeline and the amicus briefs
17	before the Supreme Court is that the effect of
18	the Fourth Circuit decision in the Appalachian
19	Trail case is that it would take a Act of
20	Congress in order to receive a crossing of the
21	Appalachian Trail. And the practical effect
22	of that is that, without a crossing across the
23	Appalachian Trail which folks may or may
24	not know runs essentially along the entire
25	coastal area, you know, inland of the coast

1	where it would bifurcate the pipeline and the
2	supply access to supply that I mentioned
3	earlier in the previous slide, would not be
4	accessible because of the break in the
5	bifurcation with the inability to cross the
6	Atlantic Coast Pipeline.
7	(Slide 2)
8	So that case is pending; it was recently
9	granted certiorari by the U.S. Supreme Court.
10	(Slide 4)
11	The oral arguments will be heard in
12	February and the just based upon Supreme
13	Court precedent as far as timing, there is an
14	expectation that there will be a decision by
15	the Supreme Court in summer of 2020, just so
16	that gives you an idea of the timing of
17	when that particular issue will be addressed.
18	Subject to that vacatur, there's been no
19	construction granted or requested by the
20	Appalachian Trail since that decision, and so
21	there is no current construction going on in
22	the project besides just maintenance and
23	stability-type construction efforts.
24	The next cases I'll go through much
25	more briefly, but in August 2018, the same

1	Fourth Circuit panel vacated the original
2	right-of-way to utilize certain portions a
3	small portion of the Blue Ridge Parkway to
4	facilitate construction of the pipeline. This
5	area is adjacent to the Appalachian Trail
6	crossing very close to it. So, upon the
7	vacatur of the Appalachian Trail special-use
8	permit that I just discussed, the agency,
9	which had already rendered an a second
10	right-of-way the National Park Service,
11	they went back to the same court and requested
12	a remand of the second permit, and
13	essentially, it's in a wait-and-see situation
14	wait and see what happens with this
15	Appalachian Trail case. And so the Blue Ridge
16	Parkway permit, as as is indicated there,
17	is still up in the air as well, pending, you
18	know, at least some progress or decision at
19	the Supreme Court level.
20	(Slide 5)
21	The other significant cases: One is a
22	environmental-related case and that involves a
23	biological opinion. In for in order to
24	receive a FERC certificate or be able to
25	proceed with construction under that FERC

1	certificate, the pipeline has to have a a
2	biological opinion. The biological opinion is
3	an assessment by the Fish and Wildlife Service
4	to determine whether the construction of the
5	project will endanger the existence of or
6	have an adverse effect on the existence of a
7	species or their necessary habitat, and then
8	if so, then the the biological opinion
9	would require alternatives to the proposed
10	either pathway or the construction.
11	The biological opinion in this case
12	there were two, and they both were vacated
13	by the Fourth Circuit. The first one was the
14	vacatur of the Incidental Take Statements,
15	which are under the biological opinion, but
16	for the purposes of today, I will say that the
17	Fourth Circuit, in July of 2019, vacated the
18	biological opinion for the entire project, and
19	there has been no new biological opinion
20	issued by the Fish and Wildlife Service.
21	That in and of itself, that opinion
22	would would typically result in a stay of
23	construction, as well, so with the Appalachian
24	Trail and the biological opinion, those
25	those would both of those situations would

1	be an impediment to any construction. We
2	don't have any information on when the new
3	biological opinion will be issued and but,
4	as I said before, there's a wait-and-see game
5	going on or wait-and-see situation with the
6	Appalachian Trail case.
7	The air permit for the Buckingham
8	Station: The oral arguments were heard in
9	October of this year; there's no decision on
10	that yet. The only thing I would note in that
11	case is: The agency in that case was not a
12	federal agency; it was a Virginia State Air
13	Pollution Control Board, but that appeal of
14	that decision was heard before the Fourth
15	Circuit panel in October.
16	And, finally, the actual FERC certificate
17	has been challenged. That case is before the
18	DC Circuit, so that out of all the cases
19	that I've discussed and there have been
20	other challenges, and I tried to focus on the
21	primary ones which are delaying the
22	construction of the project this is the
23	only case that is currently before the DC
24	Circuit. It's a number of cases were
25	consolidated into this one appeal in October

1	of this year. The DC Circuit placed this
2	entire case in abeyance. The the
3	justification for that, or the rationale, was
4	to wait and see what happened with the
5	Appalachian Trail case the Cowpasture case,
6	which will be heard before the Supreme Court.
7	So that's a that's a brief or maybe
8	not-so-brief-outline of the legal challenges
9	before that have that the pipeline has been
10	dealing with and the reasons why the project,
11	which was originally supposed to go into
12	service, you know, at an earlier date, is
13	looking to be delayed for a number of years
14	beyond the initial date. And so, with that, I
15	will pass it on to my my colleagues to
16	discuss the other issues.
17	COMMISSIONER ERVIN: Madam Chairman?
18	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Yes, sir, Commissioner
19	Ervin.
20	COMMISSIONER ERVIN: Is it too early
21	to ask questions? I have a legal question, so
22	it might be best for Mr
23	COMMISSIONER BELSER: I think Mr. Heslin
24	indicated he would take questions as we went -
25	- as he went.

1	MR. HESLIN: Sure.
2	COMMISSIONER ERVIN: Okay. Thank you.
3	MR. HESLIN: Sure.
4	COMMISSIONER ERVIN: In terms of the United
5	States Supreme Court grant of certiorari for
6	the the Fourth Circuit decision on the
7	Appalachian Trail permitting, do you see that
8	case to be in a posture where the United
9	States Supreme Court can make a final
10	determination? Or is is it such that it
11	would have to be remanded back for further
12	hearing?
13	MR. HESLIN: The issue before the Supreme
14	Court is squarely a legal one where it is
15	whether the U.S. Forest Service or the
16	National Park Service is the proper agency to
17	grant a permit. If the Supreme Court were to
18	find that the U.S. Forest Service is
19	rightfully the proper agency, there would
20	still be a need for the U.S. Forest Service to
21	render another special-use permit because the
22	original one was vacated, and it was not only
23	vacated for this legal question, it was
24	vacated as "arbitrary and capricious." So
25	there would have to be a remanded action.

1	COMMISSIONER ERVIN: So you'd go back to the
2	U.S. Forest Service, go back through that
3	process, and then there are potential appeals
4	from that?
5	MR. HESLIN: Yes. That's
6	COMMISSIONER ERVIN: This would go back
7	through the the District Court and then the
8	Fourth Circuit; is that how it would go?
9	MR. HESLIN: That's that's correct. The
10	the actual special-use permit could be
11	challenged again, obviously under different
12	grounds.
13	COMMISSIONER ERVIN: So I'm curious: Has
14	have the parties had any negotiations? I
15	realize you're dealing with different sets of
16	parties, but have you had have you tried to
17	reach some kind of resolution? some kind of
18	universal settlement by way of mediation or
19	something like that?
20	MR. HESLIN: I'm not aware of any. I mean,
21	obviously, I'm speaking on behalf of the
22	the customers needing service, but I'm not
23	aware of any discussions or potential
24	mediations to settle settle the case.
25	COMMISSIONER ERVIN: Well, you know, it it

1	it's a complex case, obviously, involving
2	multiple parties so, you know, it might it
3	would be a tough set of issues, obviously, to
4	resolve. However, in the interest of time,
5	expense, and protracted litigation, it would
6	seem that perhaps there might be some
7	possibility that an accord could be reached.
8	You know, all these parties have a common
9	interest, I would think, in in resolving
10	the case, and right now the the
11	environmental groups have leverage because of
12	the the cost delays are mounting, and
13	perhaps they could come with a wish list of
14	things that they'd like. It occurred to me
15	that, for example, there could be a a way
16	to do some mitigation work around the
17	Appalachian Trail. The parties could agree to
18	help, you know, fund that, through charitable
19	contributions or otherwise, to expand the
20	Trail or improve the Trail. Same thing with
21	the Blue Ridge Parkway in exchange for, you
22	know, some kind of a agreement to to
23	dismiss the litigation.
24	In addition, you know, as technological
25	advances go forward, we're already seeing

1	Australia using carbon capture and, in order
2	to do the carbon capture, they have to have a
3	pipeline and they have to pipe it back
4	underground, and that has already happened on
5	the west coast of Australia. And so one out-
6	of-the-box thought I had was: "Okay. Well,
7	maybe the environmentalists would be
8	interested in in having a access to the
9	pipeline for carbon capture at some point,
10	which, obviously, promotes the goal of of
11	removing CO2 and methane emissions from the
12	environment. So I would encourage you to
13	reach out to the other side and see if there's
14	some kind of middle ground.
15	You know, I've I think that where we
16	are on this issue is that, because the price
17	of natural gas has dropped so precipitously
18	and is so low and it's projected to remain low
19	for a number of years and it's cleaner than
20	coal, it seems to be a bridge to the future
21	until we can come up with either a smaller
22	nuclear model, which, in my view, is probably
23	not going to happen anytime soon because every
24	nuclear plant that's been built in this
25	country has had multiple cost overruns and

1	delays and we all know what happened in our
2	state here in South Carolina, and what's
3	the problems that are happening right now in
4	Georgia with the Vogtle plant because of
5	delays and cost overruns. So that leaves us
6	with natural gas as a bridge to the future.
7	And until technology can come in and give us
8	some help with storage to improve the battery
9	capacity for solar storage and renewable
10	storage from wind and other other sources,
11	we've got to have a reliable supply of fuel.
12	And, as we all know, solar can't provide that
13	24/7; the sun doesn't shine every day and
14	winter peaking is always going to be a problem
15	until we can get the storage piece in place,
16	and that's going to take time and money.
17	So, you know, looking at long term, you know,
18	I know that there's a lot of opposition to
19	fracking and what environmental effects it
20	has, but we have to have a reliable source of
21	fuel, and it makes sense for the ratepayers
22	if we're if we're going to look out for the
23	ratepayers' interests, the cheapest
24	alternative in the foreseeable future is
25	natural gas.

1	And so I just make those observations. I
2	know that there may be some that don't want to
3	compromise. They just they take, you know,
4	a philosophical view that we don't need it.
5	But my question is: Well, how can we do
6	without it? If it's so cheap, it's going to
7	help us keep ratepayer rates low and, in fact,
8	over the last few years it's resulted in your
9	company coming back and actually refunding
10	money, based on the fuel case adjustments, to
11	ratepayers. And so it's already showing that
12	it is it's keeping rates low and, in fact,
13	there are refunds to taxpayers.
14	So, you know, it it's just a complex
15	issue, and I hope that I hope that all
16	sides could sit down and find a really good
17	mediator and and just, you know, talk to
18	one another because, you know, the likelihood
19	is, if you don't, it's going to be tied up in
20	litigation for years and probably will never
21	be built, and then both sides lose an
22	opportunity to advance the ball. And I'm not
23	sure where we'll go if we don't have access to
24	natural gas; there just aren't many options.
25	Coal is is clearly the most harmful from an

1	environmental standpoint, and we just got to
2	we've got to get cleaner and greener, and
3	natural gas is a better option than coal.
4	Thank you.
5	MR. HESLIN: Thank you, Commissioner
6	Ervin, and and your points are well-taken.
7	Obviously, I can't speak for the project
8	but, certainly, if sides are willing to
9	discuss, perhaps creative options can be
10	considered, so thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Commissioner Whitfield.
12	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: Commissioner Belser,
13	I have at least three or four questions for
14	Mr. Heslin, but if if it suits the party
15	the party better and and you better or the
16	Commission better, I I would hold mine
17	until Mr. Weintraub and Mr. McCallister have
18	done their presentations. Perhaps
19	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Sure.
20	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: they might answer
21	them but, if not, Mr. Heslin, you've sparked
22	at least about three questions in my mind I
23	want to ask you. So we'll I'll yield at
24	this time.
25	MR. HESLIN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER BELSER: Thank you, Commissioner.
Mr. Weintraub?
MR. WEINTRAUB: Yes. Good morning. My name
is Sasha Weintraub, also known as Alexander
Weintraub. I'm the Senior Vice President in
charge of Piedmont Natural Gas, so that is the
local gas distribution company, provides
natural gas for heating, for hot water, for
manufacturing processes in our service
territory.
So I'd like to click through a few slides
quickly here just to, again, expand upon the
discussion around the need for ACP.
(Slide 7)
On this map, what you see is the Transco
pipeline. Historically you can see the
Transco pipeline runs from South Texas all the
way up into the New York region. And this is
the major interstate pipeline that we use in
the Carolinas to receive natural gas from.
There's also some natural gas that comes off
from the Southern Natural Gas system, as well,
but this is by far the biggest interstate
pipeline that we have.
Historically, the natural gas came from

1	the Gulf of Mexico up to the north and crossed
2	over into North Carolina, South Carolina, and
3	into the New York region. But with the the
4	found the finds of the shale gas, that has
5	really changed the flow of Transco and most of
6	the gas now is coming from the North down into
7	the South.
8	So what you can see here is a depiction
9	of the Atlantic Atlantic Coast Pipeline
10	I'll get into more details in a second that
11	the customers decided, as we went to market,
12	"Let's try to access this natural gas in such
13	a way that it brings it to regions that are
14	underserved with infrastructure," which is why
15	we selected the path that's that you see
16	here on this map. And again, I'll get into
17	more details in a second.
18	(Slide 8)
19	So some of the drivers for ACP, when we
20	went to market out in 2014, was to support the
21	needs of Duke Power on the generation side as
22	natural gas units were being built to replace
23	retired coal, as well as for additional
24	growth. It was also to support the needs of
25	Piedmont Natural Gas as our customer demand

1	grew and required additional infrastructure.
2	Having a second pipeline into the
3	Carolina region provided diversification.
4	Right now we are supplied, again, by one major
5	interstate pipeline, and there have been times
6	Transco is a great operator, but there are
7	times when pipelines do have maintenance
8	issues, do have issues that require them to
9	come down. Transco has not had that
10	situation, but across the U.S., other
11	pipelines had, and since this is our one major
12	pipeline, if that ever happened, there would
13	be a challenge as far as serving the needs of
14	customers that are rely upon that pipeline
15	for supply. So diversification was able to
16	provide: one, a competitive pipeline
17	alternative and some geographic diversity; it
18	was able to provide an increase in flexibility
19	and enhance our our reliability with to
20	the Carolina infrastructure by providing the
21	second pipeline allowing for that reliability.
22	It also provides direct access to lower-
23	cost shale supply in the growing production
24	region of Marcellus and Utica, which what
25	we'll show you in a few slides has been a

ACCI
CCEPTED FOR PROCESSII
FORI
PROCE
ESSING
- 2019
ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 December 16 4:57 PM - SCPSC - ND-2019
er 164
:57 PN
1 - SCI
SCPSC -
ND-20
19-35-(
G - Page
ge 32 of 68
of 68

1	prolific find for the U.S.
2	So, in summary, the ACP is the lowest-
3	cost option that provides this new diversified
4	infrastructure to meet our growing and
5	existing needs, provides access to low-cost
6	supply in the Marcellus region, provides a new
7	source of natural gas so, again, allows us
8	to have a diversity of supply, and provides
9	increased flexibility and reliability for us
10	to mitigate any unforeseen pipeline issues.
11	(Slide 9)
12	This is a more detailed map. I just want
13	to get a couple highlights for you here. The
14	the project is a large greenfield project,
15	so it is new build, roughly 600 miles long
16	from West Virginia down into North Carolina.
17	The initial pipeline capacity is 1.5 billion
18	cubic feet per day, with expansion up to
19	2 cubic feet per day, and, again, we'll get
20	you into some context regarding what that
21	means here in a few slides. ACP does provide
22	access to the supply points in Marcellus where
23	we're able to provide low-cost natural gas.
24	You'll see that the the pipeline
25	itself has a couple different diameters. So

1	in West Virginia and and Virginia, it's a
2	42-inch-diameter pipe coming down. You can
3	see at the Virginia-North Carolina border
4	there's a lateral that's a 20-inch lateral
5	that goes to the Norfolk, Virginia, region to
6	supply their gas needs. They are a growing
7	area for a variety of reasons, not just
8	population, but also their industrial load and
9	their military bases. So they have a need for
10	additional supply, as well. And, finally, it
11	comes down into North Carolina as 36-inch-
12	diameter pipe.
13	The pipeline does require three large
14	compressor stations located along the path in
15	order to provide the high-pressure delivery.
16	And ACP will interconnect with a few key
17	points: It will interconnect with the Transco
18	pipeline, so you will be able to buy gas on
19	ACP and bring it into Transco and on points
20	that we have on Transco, so there will be an
21	interconnect. And then it will interconnect
22	with the Piedmont Natural Gas infrastructure
23	system at three main points in the Carolinas.
24	(Slide 10)
25	I just want to review for you quickly the

1	customers. So you can see a list of the
2	customers' 20-year commitments. And the
3	the point I'd like to make, as well, is: Back
4	in 2014 when we went to market for this
5	pipeline, it was we the customers who went to
6	market. We solicited the market for pipeline
7	alternatives. We asked for a variety, and we
8	selected that pipeline that ultimately is now
9	ACP. Of all the providers of that RFP, we did
10	ask them to allow us the option of being an
11	owner as well, and many of the bidders into
12	that into our process wanted some
13	diversification of allowing other owners, as
14	well. So we the customers brought this
15	pipeline on and asked for the potential for
16	ownership. So just to to talk to you about
17	how that process worked.
18	So the customers so one one of the
19	benefits of having these customers align with
20	us as we went to market was that we were able
21	to to use economies of scale to have such a
22	large pipe to be as low cost as possible. So,
23	in aggregate, what you see here are the
24	customers I won't read them off but
25	those are the customers that have signed up

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 December 16 4:57 PM - SCPSC - ND-2019-35-G - Page 35 of 68

1	for these 20-year commitments. So in market
2	speak, what we say is: This pipe is, for the
3	most part, "fully subscribed." It has end-use
4	customers ready to go, and we are waiting for
5	the natural gas to arrive so we can provide it
6	for our customers.
7	I'm going to hand it off to my colleague,
8	Mr. McCallister, to talk a little bit about it
9	on the power generation side.
10	MR. MCCALLISTER: Good morning, Commissioners.
11	I'm the Managing Director of the System
12	Optimization Team within the Regulated
13	Electric Fuel and System Optimization
14	Department. Just wanted to provide a little
15	bit of background on my responsibilities. I
16	handle the fuel support for the ACP gas
17	transportation for the regulated-generation
18	side, so I support the all the regulated
19	utilities. I also am responsible for the unit
20	commitment function and support, which
21	produces the seven-day short-term economic
22	unit commitment plan to reliably meet the load
23	requirements for the Florida and Carolinas'
24	regulated electric portfolios. I'm also
25	responsible for the fuel and fleet analytic

1	function, which produces the five-year
2	forecast of fuel and system costs for the Duke
3	regulated fleet that is used for fuel planning
4	and other company processes.
5	Prior to this position, I was the
6	director of the Natural Gas Oil and Emissions
7	Team and the Fuel Procurement Team, and so I
8	have been involved with ACP from from the
9	onset.
10	(Slide 11)
11	So, with that, I want to jump in. Sasha
12	pointed out some of the the drivers of the
13	project. And this slide is just the
14	Carolinas' natural gas usage from the
15	generation fleet, so this doesn't include
16	other jurisdictions. So if you look at it, I
17	really want to outline the the significant
18	growth. So if you go back a decade or so, the
19	electric fleet used about 30 Bcf a year, which
20	is about 80,000 MMBtu a day. Now, if you
21	fast-forward to 2018, that number has
22	increased to 346 Bcf a day, which is about
23	1 Bcf a day on average, and our peak day is
24	about 1.5 Bcf a day. So to put some context
25	around that: Today, we have roughly 435,000

1	MMBtu capacity.
2	So, you know, when Sasha talked about
3	going to market, you know, back in in '14,
4	you know, this is some of the growth that we
5	were foreseeing, and beyond. So I just want
6	to point out the context of of the volume.
7	Now, that growth over the last decade has
8	been driven by a number of coal unit
9	retirements. Duke Energy has retired 30 coal
10	units in the Carolinas since 2010. We've also
11	added six combined cycles since 2011. So a
12	lot of new gas, a lot of less coal, and in the
13	coming weeks we'll be adding another combined
14	cycle at our Asheville facility.
15	Now, if you look forward, you'll see that
16	growth continues, and there's three primary
17	drivers of that. One is the Asheville
18	combined cycle. The second one, our three
19	coal-fired projects you know, I'm sure
20	you're aware that we have three large coal
21	facilities that we're converting to be able to
22	burn natural gas at Cliffside, Marshall, and
23	Belews Creek.
24	And then the last is the is just the
25	in-service of ACP. You know, with ACP, we're

1	able to access much lower-cost gas, so that
2	incrementally brings the lower-cost supply
3	source, which, when we're dispatching our
4	fleet, lowers our our incremental dispatch
5	costs. So that, too, adds to to this
6	growth. So really I think the you know,
7	from a need prospective, you know, that's
8	really the takeaway from this slide is you
9	know, I I told you how much transportation
10	we have 435,000 MMBtu's and when you
11	look out to the green bars, our average daily-
12	use growth about 1.2 to 1.3 Bcf a day, with
13	with certainly our peak day being much
14	higher than that. I'm sorry. I didn't click
15	that soon enough.
16	(Slide 12)
17	Sasha talked about the you know, the
18	prolific growth, as Brian did as well. I
19	won't spend a lot of time on this slide, but,
20	you know, the you know, the thing about the
21	ACP project: It provides us direct access to
22	the growing Marcellus and Utica shale supply
23	plays in the Pennsylvania, West Virginia, and
24	east Ohio regions. This slide is just a
25	snapshot of the U.S. shale production from the

1	EIA from their October report and shows the
2	growth in Bcf per day over time. Today, total
3	U.S. production is approximately 92 to 93 Bcf
4	a day, and you can see that shale production
5	is a large majority of that. The Marcellus
6	and Utica production is now around 31 Bcf a
7	day and accounts for roughly 30 percent of the
8	U.S. dry production. So once again, looking
9	back when we went to market for this, these
10	these numbers are even higher than what we saw
11	back then.
12	So the key takeaway here is: ACP
13	provides us that direct access to that supply,
14	which will provide us a much more stable
15	natural gas source and reduce some of the
16	volatility for our customers.
17	(Slide 13)
18	And so, with that lead-in, in talking
19	about this the next slide, you know,
20	before, when I was just outlining kind of our
21	the firm transportation we have and the
22	the amount of gas burns we have, certainly
23	there's a big difference. So Duke Energy, on
24	the electric side, does procure a significant
25	amount of gas in the we'll call it the

1	"Carolinas Zone 5 Market Area." And what that
2	means is: We fully utilize the transportation
3	we have, but, on top of that, we are buying
4	gas from other folks who have transportation
5	to procure for our you know, our our
6	generation fleet. Now, the other thing about
7	Transco: Transco is a fully-subscribed
8	pipeline.
9	So in recent years, we have seen more
10	volatility in this particular region, in
11	particular, the polar vortex in 2014 and then
12	the bomb site cyclone in 2018. There
13	certainly have been other smaller events, but
14	those two certainly are highlights. The chart
15	here is simply the first eight days of January
16	of 2018. The the the red red line is
17	the Transco Zone 5 spot price, and then the
18	blue line is the Dominion South spot price.
19	So you can see there, during that time period,
20	the average price for the "Carolinas Zone,"
21	we'll call it, was over \$40 an MMBtu, so very,
22	very volatile. The Dominion price was
23	obviously significantly below that in the
24	you know, the mid-\$3 an MMBtu range.
25	The other thing that that Duke did

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 December 16 4:57 PM - SCPSC - ND-2019-35-G - Page 41 of 68

1	during that time period: We burned 43 million
2	gallons of fuel in January of 2018, and that
3	was both for economics you know, with such
4	high gas prices, we consumed a lot more oil,
5	but we also consumed a lot of it for
6	reliability needs for our system peak
7	needs.
8	You know, I think some of this gets down
9	to, you know, as I said, the the market is
10	fully subscribed on Transco, and some of that
11	price volatility is a function of, you know,
12	fundamentals, of course, but, certainly, the
13	the scarcity of pipeline capacity. So from
14	a need perspective, those are three of the key
15	drives, at least from an electric side. You
16	know, we want to be able to access the gas.
17	As the as the Commissioner said "our gas
18	needs from today and beyond" you know, this
19	isn't a you know, this is a multi-decade
20	grid, so certainly, this project is very
21	important to us achieving some of those goals.
22	(Slide 14)
23	MR. WEINTRAUB: So our our last slide is
24	just to illustrate the interconnection of
25	Atlantic Coast Pipeline with the Piedmont

1	Distribution system, as well as some of the
2	locations of Duke's generation sites. So just
3	to highlight a couple points on this map: So
4	you can see Transco is the blue line label
5	there; Atlantic Coast Pipeline is the green
6	line coming closer to the coast. And so, just
7	to highlight, the point of ACP coming in at
8	key points is that, typically, for Piedmont
9	Natural Gas, if there is a generation site or
10	large manufacturing or industrial load in the
11	coastal part of the state, we have to expand
12	with pipeline with pipe infrastructure
13	coming off of Transco all the way down into
14	the coast. So, now that you have ACP coming
15	on this side of the state, it dramatically
16	reduces the need for incremental
17	infrastructure to serve that load, and ACP is
18	coming in at a pressure that really benefits
19	and allows the gas to be distributed among the
20	Piedmont system very cost effectively. And so
21	that is why the main reason why the
22	pipeline was designed to come down this part
23	of the state was to allow for the substitution
24	of having to build out laterals coming off of
25	Transco. And so we just wanted to highlight

1	that.
1	
2	Some other points on the map, as Joe
3	mentioned, is just all of the generation sites
4	that you see here that have been built, as
5	well as the squares, represent the the
6	dual-fired coal plants. So these are the coal
7	plants that are going to be are in process
8	or already have been converted to be able to
9	burn natural gas when prices are economic to
10	do so.
11	So with that, we wanted to finish our
12	presentation and open up for any questions you
13	might have.
14	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Thank you.
15	MR. WEINTRAUB: You're welcome.
16	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Commissioners?
17	Commissioner Hamilton.
18	COMMISSIONER HAMILTON: Thank you. Thank you,
19	gentlemen. I enjoyed your presentation, very
20	interesting. And most of you have listened to
21	my questions in the past and probably can
22	answer them before I ask them. But the the
23	thing that that I need to talk to you
24	about, again, is and I know I know where
25	you are now and you've got your hands full

1	I can understand that with moving forward.
2	But I'm still interested in the fact of
3	the economic development prospect that this
4	could be for the PeeDee and the Grand Strand
5	area of South Carolina and noticing that the
6	pipeline intended ending spot is right on the
7	border almost. So I want to keep this alive
8	in your minds that this could be needed. I
9	was thinking this week, I've got a place down
10	at North Myrtle Beach and we can't use gas
11	packs because we don't have the supply for
12	heat for gas, and this would, you know, be a
13	tremendous asset just to the residential area
14	if more areas could have the ability to heat
15	with natural gas, for the expense and whatnot.
16	And it would certainly cut back on some of the
17	need we need for new generation. We have a
18	lot of agricultural needs in this area of the
19	state that could be met with natural gas at
20	at a much lower volume than having to pay for
21	fuel oil at this time.
22	So these are some of the points that
23	that I I'm going to continue to make. I've
24	got maybe six more months on the Commission,
25	but if you come back, you'll hear it again.

1	But I thank you very much for being here.
2	MR. WEINTRAUB: Yes, sir. Thank you. I I
3	think the your point is very similar to
4	what we see along the coastal parts of North
5	Carolina where, when we have a industrial load
6	today come to us on on Piedmont and ask for
7	them to interconnect to our system, we are
8	unable to provide them firm natural gas
9	firm natural gas. We can give them non-firm,
10	but what that means is during peak times we
11	will have to cut them off. And it's not until
12	ACP is in service that we'll be allowed to
13	firm them up and allow them to operate around
14	the clock, which for certain manufacturing
15	processes, is very important.
16	So you're you're absolutely right.
17	Certainly having a pipeline like this closer
18	to these underserved areas should make the
19	business case for connecting that much better.
20	COMMISSIONER HAMILTON: Thank you. Thank you
21	very much.
22	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Thank you, Commissioner
23	Hamilton. Commissioner Whitfield.
24	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: Thank you,
25	Commissioner Belser. Got some questions for a

1	couple of you now but, Mr. Heslin, I'll start
2	with you. I think I guess from the very
3	outset, you you stated you're here as the
4	LDC and DEC and DEP. You're not really here
5	for the purposes of this allowable ex parte on
6	behalf of the pipeline, even though your
7	companies are are part-owner. You're here
8	specifically today representing the LDC and
9	DEC and DEP, correct?
10	MR. HESLIN: Yes. That's correct, sir.
11	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: In that regard, I'm
12	going to ask you come back to that and ask
13	you a question in that framework in just a
14	minute. But I want to mention something that
15	you brought out, and if either one of the two
16	want to jump in, that's that's fine
17	Mr. McCallister or Mr. Weintraub.
18	But you mentioned that the you're
19	talking about the the the permitting
20	from the U.S. Forest Service and and now
21	it's been determined that it's National Park
22	Service and not U.S. Forest Service. And I
23	I get the fact that the Appalachian Trail runs
24	all the way from Georgia to Maine on the Blue
25	basically, on the Blue Ridge chain. But

1	you said something about it being 600 feet
2	below the Appalachian Trail. Explain that to
3	me if you would.
4	MR. HESLIN: From a geographic standpoint
5	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: Uh-huh.
6	MR. HESLIN: this is my understanding, is
7	that the so the the route proposed
8	route of ACP is through, and in that area, the
9	George Washington National Forest.
10	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: Uh-huh.
11	MR. HESLIN: The Appalachian Trail, at that
12	crossing point, is at the top of a let's
13	say a ridge or a mountain.
14	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: Uh-huh.
15	MR. HESLIN: The pipeline will be bored
16	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: Just like a
17	MR. HESLIN: at an elevation that is six
18	hundred
19	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: So like a tunnel?
20	Like a tunnel?
21	MR. HESLIN: That's right.
22	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: All right.
23	MR. HESLIN: So it's approximately 600 feet
24	below the trail. So the argument that we've
25	seen in the briefing by the pipeline, or at

1	least the facts that have been laid out, is
2	that it is from an elevation six or almost
3	seven hundred feet below the trail. At that
4	crossing, there will be no impact to the
5	the walking trail itself, at least from a
6	at that point. And then, as I said, I'm just
7	as an observer reading their briefs, that's
8	what has been presented by the Solicitor
9	General as well as the the Atlantic Coast
10	Pipeline in the amicus briefs.
11	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: Well, that's what I
12	envisioned mental picture in my mind when
13	you said it, but I wanted to verify. And
14	obviously it's obvious from the maps the
15	way the Appalachian Trail runs and the
16	proposed path of this pipeline, it you
17	know, without that crossing you wouldn't even
18	get into the Blue Ridge Parkway, of course,
19	which is for people to basically parallel it
20	with with a a paved road. So I I
21	and you aptly explained that about the Blue
22	Ridge Parkway, so I'm not going to go down
23	that path. It sounds like you've there's a
24	there's a big hurdle before you get there.
25	So, Mr. Heslin, there's been some talk

1	among some of the LDCs, and this is the reason
2	I asked if you were, you know clarified
3	that you're here on behalf of the as an
4	LDC, DEP. There's been some talk about LDCs
5	going back to FERC and contesting some of the
6	ROEs that have been awarded. And, of course,
7	I'm talking about existing interstate
8	pipelines. What, if any, impact do you think
9	that might have and again, I realize if
10	that were to happen, it would benefit the LDC,
11	Piedmont Natural Gas, ultimately ratepayers of
12	the LDC. But what, if any, impact do you
13	think that might have on the the the
14	pipeline? And I realize you have commitments
15	from customers of the interstate pipeline.
16	But what, if any, impact do you is there
17	any can you share any insight to that?
18	MR. HESLIN: I can. And, generally, if the
19	returns or the allowable returns at the
20	federal level at the FERC level were to
21	decrease, it could impact the motivation to
22	invest in those types of facilities. As you
23	noted, Commissioner Whitfield, the Atlantic
24	Coast Pipeline is currently predicated on
25	negotiated or proceeding agreements that run,

1	as as Mr. Weintraub indicated, I believe 25
2	years. But a decreased allowable return
3	certainly would impact the resulting recourse
4	rates. And as I said before, you know,
5	investors are looking at those from a general
6	perspective on the gas and the electric side.
7	And if there was to be a substantive decrease
8	in the return on that investment, it would
9	impact the probably the availability of
10	future facilities.
11	As an LDC, we're customers of those
12	facilities. So when we are in rate case
13	proceedings with interstate pipelines, we try
14	to ensure that, you know, the return that is
15	usually baked into any settlement is is
16	reasonable, understanding that we'll be
17	passing that on to our customers.
18	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: To your customers.
19	All right. Well, thank you for that
20	explanation. And I'm I'm going to shift
21	gears just a little bit, and some of this may
22	go on to Mr. Weintraub. But if either one of
23	you want to jump in, that's fine, as well.
24	Mr. Weintraub, you talked about and
25	and I can't quite see it on my handout here

1	and couldn't quite see the screen far enough.
2	But you talked about an interconnection you
3	talked about interconnection points within the
4	Piedmont system. There are three of them, I
5	believe, in North Carolina, but you
6	specifically talked about an interconnection.
7	I believe it was Compressor Station 165
8	MR. WEINTRAUB: Correct.
9	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: in Transco Zone 5.
10	MR. WEINTRAUB: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: And again, I've
12	looked. It looked like it, to me, could be
13	anywhere from Charlotte all the way up into
14	Virginia. Tell me where that is specifically.
15	Where is that 165?
16	MR. WEINTRAUB: So so the interconnection
17	that I'm referring to is going to be where the
18	ACP I'll I'll use the the light blue
19	hash will interconnect with the Transco
20	Pipeline. So that will be that interconnect
21	right there.
22	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: So a little north of
23	Charlotte; is that
24	MR. WEINTRAUB: No.
25	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: No?

1	(Slide 7)
2	MR. MCCALLISTER: It's in Virginia.
3	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: Oh. In Virginia,
4	okay.
5	MR. WEINTRAUB: Right.
6	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: Okay. The above
7	I'm just seeing a hash right above
8	Charlotte, but you're talking about up into
9	Virginia?
10	MR. WEINTRAUB: Yes. That's correct. So that
11	so where that interconnects and the
12	point of that interconnect being is that we do
13	have customers that will be best served coming
14	off of Transco. So that won't be a way but
15	we'll be able to optimize portfolio and buy
16	the supply, if it's cost effective, in the
17	Marcellus region and have it delivered via ACP
18	interconnected with Transco and down to
19	customers along Transco, as well.
20	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: Well, I can see it on
21	that slide now.
22	MR. WEINTRAUB: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: It's clear very
24	clear. But I was looking at a smaller
25	inset

1	MR. WEINTRAUB: It's going to be about
2	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: on Page 9, and I
3	couldn't see it. But well, anyway. Next,
4	what you've mentioned you talked about
5	competitiveness. You're you talk about
6	competitiveness both, I guess, in in our
7	area, you'd be referring to Transco and
8	Southern and being having another
9	supply, another source. But also, with that
10	interconnection, you're giving you know,
11	you're taking some of the the the demand
12	off Transco. So in a in a sense, you're
13	providing a little competition, but also
14	you're aiding them in a way, too; is that a
15	fair statement?
16	MR. WEINTRAUB: Well, what what I would say
17	is: We go out and procure the lowest cost
18	fuel that we go out and buy. So by allowing
19	for an additional option, to have another
20	pipeline with another supply source, we are
21	now able to, for both Piedmont and and
22	and for the the Duke Electric, as well, we
23	have more options to go to market in order to
24	to buy. And if, in this particular case,
25	the supply point that we talk about, this

1	Dominion South which is the price point in
2	Marcellus, if that's a lower-cost price than
3	some other typical places that we buy, we'll
4	be able to procure and bring back fuel to our
5	customers using Atlantic Coast Pipeline as a
6	potential option. That option doesn't exist,
7	which is why on Mr. McCallister's chart
8	just to to just to iterate here on this
9	chart: What this is depicting is that the
10	Dominion South price point in the Marcellus
11	region sat at sub \$5 throughout this cold
12	weather.
13	(Slide 13)
14	MR. WEINTRAUB: So in other words, there was
15	gas available. There just wasn't capacity
16	pipeline capacity to bring it to the markets.
17	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: I see.
18	MR. WEINTRAUB: And so all of a sudden that
19	pipeline capacity that you see in the red,
20	that's delivered into the Carolinas. So now
21	that price point that you see is someone's
22	willing to pay a higher price because it's
23	delivered in the Carolinas. And I'll buy at
24	I'm I'm I'm giving you a
25	hypothetical, if you will I'll pay a \$120

1	MMBtu for that fuel, which is a lot for the
2	fuel. And that's cheaper than, say, running a
3	propane or cheaper than running fuel oil or
4	cheaper than buying in a market. Whereas, if
5	we had if if a customer had or excuse
6	me if we had a pipeline contract that
7	reached back into the Marcellus region and
8	we'd be able to buy that Dominion price
9	that Dominion fuel that fuel at that
10	Dominion price and bring it along that
11	contract to our customers, hence you wouldn't
12	have the volatility associated with this cold
13	weather on this particular cold spell.
14	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: So in that example
15	you just described, you had the supply source.
16	You had the you had the resource up there.
17	You had the high demand, but you just flat-out
18	lacked the capacity to get to to to get
19	it here?
20	MR. WEINTRAUB: Yes. If I may, I'm familiar
21	so I'll Joe, if if if it's okay.
22	What I'll say is, as Mr. McCallister
23	indicated, that roughly on this day was a
24	was a high-burn day. So call it 1.5 Bcf of
25	gas was burned on this day. And under

1	contract, Joe, I believe you said it was
2	435,000.435 Bcf per day. So the requirement
3	then would be to go out and buy roughly 1 Bcf
4	of delivered gas. So so you no longer have
5	contracts to bring that gas. You're now
6	asking others who have contracts, "Hey, I will
7	buy it from you at a price that's cheaper than
8	my next alternative." And in some cases, the
9	cheaper alternative was burning fuel oil,
10	which is why 43 million gallons of fuel oil
11	were burned in the month of January because,
12	in some cases, it's lower cost to burn fuel
13	oil than it is to buy this high-cost gas.
14	It's it's just the economics of how you
15	dispatch a generation fleet.
16	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: And one last
17	question. Could you go back to the slide we
18	had on the screen? The the
19	MR. WEINTRAUB: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes.
20	(Slide 7)
21	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: I'm sorry. The other
22	the right there. The that one. A
23	lot has changed, and certainly in natural gas
24	in the in the short ten/eleven years I've
25	been a Commissioner. As you just described,

1	everything flowing from Texas, Louisiana
2	region up to the Northeast, and now you've got
3	the reversal
4	MR. WEINTRAUB: Right.
5	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: of these
6	interstate pipelines. And now with the other
7	graph you showed with the most of the or
8	a larger portion of the production of that
9	shale gas coming from Marcellus and Utica,
10	there's still that supply great supply up
11	there. And I'm going to kind of ask the
12	kind of ask the question in reverse of
13	Commissioner Hamilton. You've heard
14	Commissioner Hamilton today, and you've heard
15	him in previous allowable ex parte briefings.
16	And I I think he's had that question quite
17	a few times. But let's just for a moment
18	suppose that that pipeline stops as it's
19	planned in just outside of Lumberton, North
20	Carolina. You you you cited some things
21	I think in your Mr. Weintraub, in your
22	portion about meeting existing and growing
23	needs, new source of high pressure, and having
24	new supply points and flex operational
25	flexibility. You cited about four things

1	there.
2	What specifically to South Carolina
3	ratepayers how would South Carolina
4	ratepayers both of Piedmont Natural Gas I
5	guess South Carolina customers of Piedmont
6	necessarily wouldn't necessarily benefit
7	but what benefits would South Carolina
8	ratepayers, maybe of DEP, see? Or what other
9	benefits other than taking some of the
10	the pressure, if you will, off of Transco
11	that might help some of the Piedmont folks.
12	But what benefits can South Carolinians expect
13	to see if it if it stays where it is?
14	(Slide 14)
15	MR. WEINTRAUB: Yes, sir. Well, from a
16	Piedmont perspective so Piedmont Natural
17	Gas operates in the white service territory
18	that you see here on this map. So so one
19	of the advantages would be that we do operate
20	this as a portfolio. So the costs to serve
21	the fuel costs to serve our customers across
22	the entire Piedmont service territory is
23	pooled and then allocated to customers on a
24	methodology. So roughly I'm giving you a
25	a rough number 15 percent of costs are

1	allocated to the customers that Piedmont
2	proudly serves in the Upstate portion of South
3	Carolina. So anything we do to lower that
4	overall portfolio cost will benefit the
5	customers that we have.
6	MR. MCCALLISTER: And and I would say the
7	same for Duke, right. We operate our fuel and
8	generation portfolio in the Carolinas as as
9	a system. So the benefits of that lower-cost
10	supply well, you know, to the to the
11	share that gets allocated to South Carolina,
12	would would provide a benefit.
13	And one other note: You know, we were
14	talking earlier about the the usage of
15	of of Atlantic Coast Pipeline. You know,
16	Duke would use a very high percentage of that
17	at the very onset. So it's not something we
18	would, you know, be using for peaking needs.
19	It would be something that, when it when it
20	comes in service, we expect to utilize a very
21	high percentage of that capacity, given the
22	price advantages, which has the advantage to
23	our portfolio as that would, in part, get
24	allocated to South Carolina.
25	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: So the same answer

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 December 16 4:57 PM - SCPSC - ND-2019-35-G - Page 60 of 68

1	even more so for DEP. You have generation
2	resources in both North and South Carolina
3	that North Carolina we all know that
4	that electrons don't know state lines,
5	so
6	MR. MCCALLISTER: Yep.
7	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: And we all know that
8	DEP goes across the state line in both states,
9	so
10	MR. MCCALLISTER: Yep.
11	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: I guess that's what
12	you're trying to tell me.
13	MR. WEINTRAUB: Yes, sir.
14	MR. MCCALLISTER: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: That's all I have,
16	Commissioner Belser.
17	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Commissioner Ervin.
18	COMMISSIONER ERVIN: Thank you. I suppose
19	that there's no alternative route that would
20	bypass there's no way to bypass the
21	Appalachian Trail to get to get through to
22	the destination; is that fair to say?
23	MR. HESLIN: That's correct, Commissioner
24	Ervin. And the current path runs through the
25	federal lands of the U.S. Forest Service. So

1	that's correct.
2	COMMISSIONER ERVIN: There's no no other
3	alternative route even being considered or
4	proposed?
5	MR. HESLIN: I'm not aware of that. My
6	understanding, based on our observations, is
7	that they're appealing the Fourth Circuit
8	decision regarding the Appalachian Trail.
9	COMMISSIONER ERVIN: Well, back to my earlier
10	thought about some kind of negotiated
11	settlement. It might you know, one of the
12	things that that Duke and Dominion and
13	Southern Company could could bring to the
14	table would be a proposal which would
15	accelerate the closure of the coal-fired
16	facilities in exchange for, you know in
17	other words, shutting down those coal plants
18	sooner and in the event you could get gas
19	to supply the you know, the the gas
20	the new gas and existing gas units. That's
21	that's one thought.
22	And then, you know, solar is is
23	expanding continues to expand on several
24	levels, and you might could, you know, even
25	get them involved in the negotiations and see

1	if they could assist with meeting demand.
2	That would that that might be a piece of
3	the puzzle to consider.
4	I know that North Carolina is is
5	already has in place a competitive-bid solar
6	piece, and we're looking at that, as well.
7	We've opened a docket on it. And but
8	again, I think that there's there's enough
9	common ground to at least try and reach out
10	and see if there's some way you could
11	incorporate some of these things in a proposed
12	IRP or, you know, going forward.
13	The other thing, you know, that
14	everybody's interested in is the and we
15	don't talk about much, but we we just
16	issued a directive yesterday on energy
17	efficiency. And there's some things that we
18	could do in the entire region in terms of
19	trying to improve energy efficiency on both
20	the residential and commercial-industrial
21	level. And maybe a beefed-up proposal on
22	in that arena might attract some interest from
23	from your opponents because, again, it's a
24	win-win-win situation across the board.
25	And it so I'm I'm looking for long-

1	
1	term solutions. I know it's not easy, but
2	it's worth a try. We don't really have other
3	options. And having been in the litigation
4	business early in my career, I always told
5	parties, you know, "You've got flexibility in
6	settlement negotiations that you don't have in
7	court." Just because you get a legal issue
8	resolved doesn't mean you win. It probably
9	means you've got more litigation in front of
10	you. And that's just time time consuming
11	and costly, so I always encouraged, when I was
12	a circuit judge, to to to to
13	parties involved to look at the freedom of
14	flexibility of a mediation. You you can
15	always walk away if you can't reach an
16	agreement. But, at the same time, if you
17	begin discussions, sometimes everybody's
18	surprised that you can find a path forward.
19	And so I just encourage you to look at
20	that option. Thank you. Appreciate your
21	presentation today.
22	And, you know, the other thing we haven't
23	really talked about, but Santee Cooper is up
24	for sale. And there are a lot of coal-fired
25	plants in their system. And and, you know,

1	they're going to need to convert at some point
2	to natural gas. So we've got that other piece
3	out there that there are a lot of residents in
4	South Carolina that could benefit from cheaper
5	natural gas. I I looked at Bloomberg this
6	morning, and the natural gas future prices
7	have now slumped because of this supply gut
8	glut that we have. The supply and has out-
9	seated run overrun demand. It's 2.54
10	per million Btu's. If it finishes the year at
11	that level, it will be the lowest average gas
12	prices since 1999. And it's projected to
13	continue in that realm. And I'll send you a
14	copy of this article because I know you have
15	to have it for your Jeff, for your record.
16	MR. NELSON: Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER ERVIN: But I'll e-mail a copy to
18	to our chief counsel, and he can forward it
19	to you. But because of the 750,000 net acres
20	in the Marcellus and Utica shale formations,
21	which stretch from West Virginia to
22	Pennsylvania and Ohio, the Chevron has had
23	to write down on their earnings because
24	they're losing so much money from the the
25	the price the cheap price of natural

1	gas. They they announced today that they
2	expect to write down as much as \$11 billion in
3	fourth quarter alone. \$11 billion. That's
4	money that our ratepayers could save if we had
5	access to that natural gas, you know, all
6	throughout the region, and all boats rise with
7	the tide. If like just like was said
8	earlier, if if we were able to get cheaper
9	natural gas in our state, it could be a
10	renaissance for the PeeDee. And we were in a
11	public hearing Monday night down on the coast
12	near Bluffton, Coosawassie Island. Is that
13	how you pronounce that?
14	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: Callawassie.
15	COMMISIONER BELSER: Callawassie.
16	COMMISSIONER ERVIN: Callawassie Island. And
17	I was amazed at the kind of growth on the
18	coast. And I'm sure we're seeing that through
19	North Carolina, Virginia. But the residential
20	development's just taken off with Sun City
21	down in South Carolina, and a lot of the
22	snowbirds from the Northeast are coming down
23	and retiring because of the quality of life
24	and cost of living. They would love to have
25	their utility bills levelized or dropped in
II.	

1	the future. And these as you retire, your
2	income you took it can go down and we
3	we so we need to look at these issues
4	because it's out there if we can get it here.
5	And but I think it's an important issue,
6	and it's it's one that's it ties into
7	everything we do because to the extent that we
8	can reduce that winter-peak load, it also
9	factors back into ratemaking, avoided costs,
10	and the like. And that's what we all need to
11	be working toward. Thank you for your
12	presentation.
13	MR. WEINTRAUB: Thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Thank you, Commissioner
15	Ervin. I have one brief question. I think
16	this would go to Mr. McCallister. And it's on
17	your Slide 14 that shows the Piedmont system.
18	(Slide 14)
19	MR. MCCALLISTER: Yes. Okay.
20	COMMISSIONER BELSER: I was just curious about
21	the Cardinal line. Who who owns that?
22	MR. MCCALLISTER: Cardinal is is owned by
23	three parties: Transco, Piedmont, and Public
24	Service
25	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Okay.

ACCEPTED FOR PROCESSING - 2019 December 16 4:57 PM - SCPSC - ND-2019-35-G - Page 67 of 68

1	MR. MCCALLISTER: of North Carolina.
2	COMMISSIONER BELSER: And that's just kind of
3	a connecting line to get the gas
4	MR. MCCALLISTER: Yes. It's
5	COMMISSIONER BELSER: from Transco over
6	into the eastern
7	MR. MCCALLISTER: Yes. It is a connecting
8	line from the north of Transco's own pipe into
9	the Piedmont system down near Goldsboro.
10	COMMISSIONER BELSER: It just kind of jumped
11	out because it was such a bright color
12	compared to the others.
13	MR. MCCALLISTER: You know, there's a lot of
14	a lot of pipelines on this map, so we had
15	to get creative with some of the colors.
16	MR. WEINTRAUB: And and that line is fully
17	subscribed, as is most of the pipelines that
18	we show here, because of the growth that you
19	see in the Raleigh Triangle/Durham area, as
20	well.
21	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Okay. Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: Commissioner Belser,
23	do you mind if I have a quick follow-up to
24	what you just asked?
25	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: Commissioner

1	Whitfield.
2	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: That line is the very
3	example of some of the laterals you're saying
4	that ACP would allow you to avoid building?
5	MR. WEINTRAUB: That's correct.
6	COMMISSIONER WHITFIELD: All right.
7	MR. MCCALLISTER: Absolutely.
8	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Ms. Smith, anything
9	further
10	MS. SMITH: No.
11	COMMISSIONER BELSER: from the company?
12	Mr. Nelson, anything further?
13	MR. NELSON: No, ma'am.
14	COMMISSIONER BELSER: Thank you very much for
15	your presentations today, gentlemen.
16	Certainly presented us with a lot of
17	information. There be nothing there being
18	nothing further, we will adjourn this session
19	and thank everyone for attending.
20	(WHEREUPON, at 11:17 a.m. the
21	proceedings in the above-entitled
22	matter were adjourned.)
23	(*This transcript may contain quoted material.
24	Such material is reproduced as read or quoted
25	by the speaker.)